

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
(Govt. of NCT of Delhi)
M-Block, Vikas Bhawan, IP Estate, New Delhi – 110110
Tel. No. 011-23379900, 23379901, Fax No. 011-23370903
Website : www.pgc.delhigovt.nic.in E-mail : pgcdelhi@nic.in

Order under Para 2(B) of the PGC Resolution No F.4/14/94-AR dated 30.7.1998

Date of hearing: 20nd November, 2018

Grievance No. PGC/2018/Annex.II/DP/66

Complainant : Shri Ram Pal-Not Present

Respondent : Spl. CP (Vigilance)-through
HC Madan Lal, PG/SED
ACP S.A. Rashid, SED

1. Brief facts of the complaint

Shri Ram Pal, the complainant in the Commission stating that on 27.9.2018 at about 5.00 p.m., he was standing near Petrol Pump at Lal Kuan, M.B. Road, New Delhi. While taking out mobile phone from his pocket, ignorantly few currency notes fell down on the ground. The same were picked up by some unknown persons and he tried to run away with those notes. He chased him and caught but he challenged him and called his associates. The complainant got frightened by it and called at No. 100 and police came at the site and took them to the police station. The complainant further alleged ASI Hari Kishan threatened him against sharing it with anyone, else he will get him killed.. The ASI detained him at police station for four hours without food and water. Finally, ASI Hari Kishan took Rs. 4,000/- from him and allowed him to go.

The complainant further stated that on 30.9.2018 at about 8.00 p.m. he went to SHO/Pul Prehladpur in the instant matter. SHO called the ASI and directed him to return Rs. 4,000/- to the complainant. In the presence of SHO/Pul Prehladpur, ASI returned him (the complainant) one note of Rs. 2,000/- and four notes of Rs. 500/-denomination each. ASI has been continuously threatening him since then. The complainant requested for necessary action in the matter.

2. Relevant facts emerged during the hearing

2.1 ACP S.A. Rashid, SED and HC Madan Lal, PG Cell/South East District submitted report. As per report, an enquiry was conducted by PG Cell wherein it has emerged that PCR call was made by a person namely Jitender. The complainant did not make any PCR call and his contention that he called police is false and misleading. A dispute between the complainant and Jitender's wife Rani over money has been reported and the same was handled by police station. Later, the complainant and Jitender's wife resolved the matter and gave it in writing. Based on resolution of dispute ASI Hari Singh/ Kishan filed the PCR call made by Jitender.

No money has been returned to the complainant by ASI Hari Singh as alleged by the complainant. As per statement of cousin of complainant, the said compromise has been made in his presence and no money has been taken by ASI Hari Singh. Infact, complainant Rampal never met him in Police Station as alleged by him and his version of intervention by SHO and return of Rs. 4000/- is false and incorrect.

2.2 The complainant is not present in the proceedings. It is reported that his mother has passed away. The Commission decides to call the complainant. HC Madan Lal was directed to come alongwith Devender Kumar on 27.11.2018.

2.3 In the presence of all concerned in Commission except SHO/PS Pul Prahladpur on 27.11.2018, the complainant reiterated that he has paid Rs. 4,000/- to his cousin Devender, who handed over the same to the ASI. However, cousin Devender flatly denied any exchange of money.

2.4 The complainant and his son claimed they have an audio recording in their mobile to support their allegations and agreed to hand over a copy of the same to the Commission. Later during discussion with Dy. Secretary, PGC they, however refused to part with the same and requested the Commission to not to proceed further in the matter.

3. Directions of PGC

3.1 Considering that allegations are serious in nature, payment of bribe and its subsequent return at the directions of the officer in charge police station, SHO/PS Pul Prahaladpur was summoned to clarify the contradictions in police/complaint version.

3.2 From submissions of independent witness/cousin of the complainant, SHO/PS Prahladpur has never met, in person, the complainant in police station. Further, the refusal to

share the details of information captured electronically by the complainant, the Commission feels that testimony and allegations of complainant are not true and therefore Commission can't proceed further in the matter.

3.3 The matter/grievances stands closed in the Commission.

(SUDHIR YADAV)
MEMBER(PGC)

Copy to:

1. The Special Commissioner of Police (Vigilance), Delhi Police, Police Headquarter, 5th Floor, ITO, Delhi.
2. The DCP/South East District, Police Station Sarita Vihar, New Delhi-110044.
3. Shri Ram Pal