

# **PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi)

## **APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

**Appeal No. 755 /2018/PGC/DRTI/ CVO, SDMC dated 29.3.2019**

**Date of Hearing :** 24.6.2019

**Appellant :** Sh. Tej Pal Puri

**Competent Authority :** The Director (Vigilance), SDMC

**Application under DRTI :** 28.12.2018

### **1 Background :**

The appellant vide DRTI application dated 28.12.2018 has sought information on total 08 points. Aggrieved by non receipt of any information from the Competent Authority, he filed this appeal.

### **2 Proceedings**

The appellant is present. Sh. Sanjeev, SO, (Vigilance), South DMC, is present on behalf of the department. The representative of the department submitted a letter dt. 21.6.2019, vide which point-wise information has been provided. A copy of the same was handed over to the appellant during the hearing.

The reply of the department has been perused. The department has provided a reply which is not correct in so far as it mentions provisions of RTI Act 2005, whereas the appellant has sought information under the DRTI Act 2001. This was brought to the notice of the Competent Authority telephonically by the Appellate Authority during the course of hearing today. The confusion might have arisen since the appellant too, had filed an application quoting "RTI Act 2001", instead of DRTI Act 2001.

The appellant submits that he is particularly aggrieved with the department not providing him the information in response to point no. 05, in which he had sought the copy of the order of the Commissioner vide which he was placed under suspension. But the department has only provided him with the copy of the office order signed by the ADOV-I. The Competent Authority shall provide the copy of the note sheet vide which the Commission has accorded approval for the suspension of Sh. Tej Pal Puri, which was conveyed to him by the department vide letter dt. 3.12.2011.

Further, during the course of hearing today the departmental representative informed that the inquiry against the appellant has been completed and the file has been sent to the Disciplinary Authority. The departmental representative is advised to coordinate with the office of the Disciplinary Authority to expedite the orders. Thereafter the same may be intimated to the appellant.

### **3 Decision**

In response to query no. 05, the Competent Authority viz. the Director (Vigilance), South DMC is directed to provide a copy of the note sheet vide which approval has been accorded by the Commissioner, SDMC for placing Sh. Tej Pal Puri under suspension. Further efforts may be made to expedite the file in the office of the Disciplinary Authority and the appellant may be informed of the decision of the Disciplinary Authority.

With the above direction, the present appeal case is ordered to be disposed of in the Commission.

**(ASHOK KUMAR)**

Chairman,

Public Grievances Commission

Copy to:-

- 1 Sh. Sanajy Sahay, Director (Vigilance), Vigilance Department, South DMC, 26<sup>th</sup> floor, Dr. S.P.Mukherjee Civic Centre, J.L. Nehru Marg, New Delhi-02
- 2 Sh. Tejpal Puri