

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
DELHI RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2001

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi

Date of hearing: 26th March, 2018

Appellant : **Shri Anil Dutt Sharma**

Competent Authority : **Special Secretary,**
Directorate of Vigilance,
Govt. of NCT of Delhi,
Level-4, 'C' Wing, Delhi Secretariat
New Delhi – 110 002.

Appeal No. : 760/2017/PGC/DRI/DOV
Application filed on : 05.12.2017
Response of Competent Authority : Aggrieved with the reply.
Appeal filed on : 08.01.2018
First hearing in PGC, Scheduled : 26.03.2018

1. Brief facts of the Appeal

Shri Anil Dutt Sharma filed Form-A during December, 2017, under the Delhi RTI Act, 2001, with the Competent Authority i.e. Special Secretary, Directorate of Vigilance, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, seeking information on total 2 counts.

Shri Anil Dutt Sharma did receive a response from the Office of Special Secretary (Vigilance), Directorate of Vigilance, Govt. of NCT of Delhi. However, being aggrieved with the same, he filed an Appeal during January, 2018, before the Appellate Authority / PGC, under Section 7 of the Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001.

2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission

The PGC has convened its first hearing on 26th March, 2018. At today's hearing on 26/03/2018, the following were present :

Appellant : Present

Respondent : Sh. Gurpreet Singh, DOV
Sh. Manoj Kumar, Insp. (A.C.), DOV

3. Relevant facts emerging during the hearing

3.1 At today's hearing on 26/03/2018, representative of the department submitted that a reply had already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 27/12/2017 (copy placed). He further submitted that a letter dated 19.03.2018 in the present case has also been sent to the Commission. Copy of the same is available in the file.

3.2 On perusal of Appeal in Form 'E', filed by the appellant, it has been observed that in Col. 8 i.e. 'Reasons for appeal', the appellant mentioned 'Information did not provide by the Competent Authority'. However in Col. 9 of the Form 'E' i.e. 'response received in form B or C within 30 days of submission of Form A, the appellant submitted 'Response received from 9433/ID-03/2017/ACB dated 18.12.2017. Both the statements are contradictory in nature. The appellant is directed to be careful in future.

3.3 The reply furnished by the department has been perused and it is found that the department has provided satisfactory information to the queries raised by the appellant in his DRTI application.

4. Directions of the Appellate Authority / PGC

Since the department has provided satisfactory reply to the queries of the appellant, no further action needs to be taken in this regard. Accordingly, the present appeal case is ordered to be disposed of before the Appellate Authority / Member (PGC).

(SUDHIR YADAV)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY / MEMBER (PGC)

Copy to:

1. The Special Secretary, Directorate of Vigilance, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, Level-4, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, New Delhi – 110 002.
2. Shri Anil Dutt Sharma