By Speed Post

OFFICE OF THE DY. COMMISSIONER (EXCISE) GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI L-BLOCK, VIKAS BHAWAN, I P ESTATE, NEW DELHI-110002.

No. PS/DC(E)/Appeal/RTI/2017/ 2573

Dated: 25.08.2017

RTI Appeal No. 09/2017

In the matter of: Shri Suraj Prakash Manchanda, C-2/35B Keshav Puram, Delhi-110035.

... Appellant

Versus

PIOs/Asstt. Commissioner (IMFL) Department of Excise, GNCTD

ORDER

The appeal was filed under RTI Act by the appellant Shri Suraj Prakash Manchanda on 18.07.2017 vides appeal No.09/2017. The appellant also filed on-line appeal against the P!Os reply dated 14.08.2017. Both the appeals are clubbed because of similarity. Notice was issued on 31.07.2017 to the appellant to appear before the undersigned on 24.07.2017 at 3.00 PM. In the appeals, the appellant submitted that the PIO has provided vague/misleading information after 30 days on 17.07.2017. Neither the appellant appeared nor has any information been received.

I have gone through the appeals of the Appellant, also the point wise reply of PIO to RTIs and found the same is in order. The appellant earlier also filed RTIs& appeal in this regard. The PIO has already provided the requisite information in response to his multiple RTIs. This being so the appeals are disposed off accordingly.

In case, the appellant is aggrieved by this order, he may file appeal before the 2^{nd} Appellate Authority, i.e. Central Information Commission.

(Praveen Mishra)
First Appellate Authority

Copy to: