

IN THE COURT OF REVENUE ASSISTANT (MEHRAULI) OLD TEHSIL BUILDING MEHRAULI NEW DELHI-110030

Case No. 7517/609/RA/Meh./2015/06-10 Dated:- 22/8/16

U/s of 81 DLR Act, 1954.

ading were initiated in year 2014. He proved the same by virgo of Khasra Gudawari for In the matter of 2016 to show that the proceedings were instituted after a period of three

years from the date of the construction beace the said proceedings are time barred. Gaon Sabha Mehrauli s heard at length on 17.8.16; Sh. V P Yaday (Advocate) was present for

Was with Sh. Virender Slogh (Panebayat Secretary), Sh. Ravigder Singh and Marender Sturm V/s successor) were present for respondent.

Dharam Pal Jindal appointed the seams of Coops Sables

ORDER

I are on the stiese that this court is not having any periodiction to entertain the This order will dispose of the proceedings under section 81 of the DLR Act in respect of the land comprised in Khasra No. 81/3/2 (2-4), 4/2 (1-4), 8 min (2-0), 8 min (1-15), 7 min (0-12), 26 (0-8), 3/1 (2-12), 4/1 (2-0), situated in the revenue estate of village Mehrauli, Tehsil

Brief facts

The proceedings under section 81 of DLR act were instituted on the report submitted by the Halka Patwari in which it was mentioned that the land is being used for purpose other than a purpose connected with agriculture, horticulture or animal husbandry which includes

Notice was issued in the form of LR 48 on 22/05/2015 to the respondent as to why action under section 81 DLR should not be initiated against the Bhumidar i.e. Dharam Pal Jindal.

The respondent put appearance and filed written version on 01/08/2016. The respondent has taken various stands stating that since Mehrauli village has been urbanized therefore this court does not have any jurisdiction. Respondent further submitted that as per section 507 of DMC act, the urbanized village of Delhi would fall within the jurisdiction of Municipal Corporation and not before this court. Respondent also submitted that village Mehrauli has been notified as urbanized village therefore the Delhi Land Reform act is not applicable as the land falls in Mehrauli village. Respondent also cited one judgment passed by Hon'ble High court of Delhi titled as Indu Khurana & Lt. Governor Of Delhi. Respondent also submitted that SLP of the Govt. against the Judgment, delivered by Hon'ble High court of Delhi titled as Indu Khurana & Lt. Governor Of Delhi, has been dismissed therefore the proposition laid down by Hon'ble High court of Delhi in case titled as Indu Khurana & Lt. Governor Of Delhi was accepted by Hon;ble

The respondent further submitted that he had applied before MCD for sanctioning his site plan and thereafter site plan was sanctioned by the MCD vide sanction letter no. 5739/B/HQ 2005 dated 25.9.06 and the date of completion of construction was 24.9.11. Respondent claimed that from this it is also clear that Gaon sabha has no jurisdiction in this regard in view of the sanction letter.

The respondent's counsel also claimed the case is time barred because construction was made prior to 2010. That proceedings initiated by the Gaon Sabha are bad as it is time barred. The said case is barred as per DLR Act. In case titled as Goan sabha Samhalaka Versus R N Sahni no proceedings under section 81 of DLR act can be instituted after three years from the date of arising of the cause of action/alleged misuse of land. Respondent submitted that as per revenue record there exist one Kothi on the said land from the year 2010 onwards however the proceeding were initiated in year 2014. He proved the same by virtue of Khasra Girdawari for the period of 2011 to 2016 to show that the proceedings were instituted after a period of three years from the date of the construction hence the said proceedings are time barred.

The matter was heard at length on 17.8.16; Sh. V P Yadav (Advocate) was present for Gaon Sabha with Sh. Virender Singh (Panchayat Secretary). Sh. Ravinder Singh and Narendra Sharma (Advocates) were present for respondent.

Sh. V P Yadav supported the stand of Gaon Sabha.

After going through reply submitted by the respondent and judgment cited by the respondent, I am of the view that this court is not having any jurisdiction to entertain the present case therefore I D S Verma, SDM/RA (Mehrauli) drop the proceedings against the bhumidar i.e.

Ordered accordingly.

Announced in open court.

Let a copy of this order be sent to all concerned.

Given under my hand and seal of this court on this day of August, 2016 Notice was assued in the form of LR 48 or 22/05/2015 to the respond

SDM/REVENUE ASSISTANT (MEHRAULI)

Copy to:- case stemps making from Melynuli village less been 1. The B.D.O. (South), GNCTD, M.B. Road, Saket, New Delhi.

2. The Tehsildar (Mehrauli), GNCTD.

3. Sh. Dharam Pal Jindal S/o Sh. B.C. Jindal, R/o B057, Greater Kailash-I, New Delhi. 4. The Halqua Patwari of village Mehrauli for records.

5 The Programmer O/o District Magistrate (South), GNCTD, with the direction to upload the present order on the official site of the department. I'v Governor Of Della, has been dismussed therefore the proposition faid down by Hon big High