

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE LOKAYUKTA
Justice Manmohan Sarin
Complaint No. C-1813/Lok/2012**

Complaint by Sh. Jitender Singh Shunty, Councillor, Municipal Corporation of Delhi, Ward No. 238, Jhilmil, Delhi.

ORDER

A copy of the communication/complaint bearing no. 332 dated 17/10/2012 by Jitender Singh Shunty, Councillor, Municipal Corporation of Delhi, Ward no. 238, Jhilmil, titled "Reprehensible and illicit misuse of Government Funds" has been endorsed to this office and registered vide diary no. 1724, dated 17.10.2012.

As per the communication, the advertisement taken out by the PWD mentioned 107 roads of which development work has been taken over by PWD, which were erstwhile in the jurisdiction of MCD.

It is claimed that the road mentioned at S. No. 46, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg, (A-Block, Vivek Vihar, Phase-II) till I.T.I Vivek Vihar, Delhi had been recently developed in the month of October, 2011, by Municipal Corporation vide work order No. Shahdra South/SYS/2011-2012/67 with an allocation of Rs. 6905501. The complainant urges that it would be pointless to again develop the said road. It would be a clear case of waste of funds. Complainant request that said road be deleted from the list on which the Corporation has already spent money and carried out the work.

While the above may be correct, as pointed out by the Councillor, it appears to the undersigned that the above clearly

118

points out to the need of having a system in place whereby roads which are now to be developed and/or being taken over from Municipal Corporation of Delhi, are inspected. Further, it is ascertained whether in the last one and a half years or so any work of development of the said road had been done, if so, under what work order and the amount paid for the said work order.

This would prevent a recurrence of an incident like the present one and also ensure that if there are roads whose conditions is found deteriorated in the one and half years or so, then action for defective work is initiated by the MCD. This would also ensure that recoveries are made from those who have done defective works and they do not escape the contractual obligations. It is desirable that this coordination is maintained between the PWD and the three Municipal Corporations of Delhi, to avoid any wasteful burden on the exchequer. This may be treated as a suggestion in terms of Section 16 of the Delhi Lokayukta and Upalokayukta Act, 1995.

Copy of this order be sent to the Complainant, Hon'ble Lieutenant Governor of Delhi, Secretary Public Works Department and Commissioners of East Delhi Municipal Corporation, South Delhi Municipal Corporation and North Delhi Municipal Corporation.

Dated: 18.10.2012


(Justice Manmohan Sarin)
Lokayukta