### **Appeal No. 157/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Dinesh Kumar Saini, J-60, Street No. 38, Raja Puri, Uttam Nagar New Delhi-110059.

**Appellant** 

#### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM(NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Dinesh Kumar Saini on 12/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM(NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Dinesh Kumar Saini, Appellant and Sh. Ranjan Kumar, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM(NG). The appellant explained the information required. The PIO sent his reply to the appellant vide his letter dt. 24/09/2011 stating that the information required is not clear and the applicant can visit the ofice of SDM between 10.00 A.M. to 01.00 P.M. for clarification. As far as I can understand, his application is not being accepted by the Counter Clerk. The Oficial present in the hearing assured that the application will be accepted and the appellant can meet him, if any assistance is required.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

Sd/-

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Dinesh Kumar Saini, J-60, Street No. 38, Raja Puri, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi-110059.
- 2. PIO/SDM(NG)

**Appeal No. 162/11** 

In the matter of: -

Sh. Satender Kumar Garg, Flat No. 304, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Shri Ram Residency, Plot No. 1, Ahinsa Khand-II, Indirapuram, Ghaziabad - 201014.

**Appellant** 

### **VERSUS**

PIO/BDO (SW), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Satender Kumar Garg on 22/10/2011 against the PIO/ADM (LAC) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Jagdish Prasad, VLW on behalf of BDO (SW)/PIO. None present on behalf of the appellant Sh. Satender Kumar Garg. The appellant filed an RTI Application before BDO (SW) on 16/08/2011 requiring certain information regarding 17 Professional Bills submitted by him on 03/08/2011. In response to this RTI Application BDO provided information vide letter dt. 30/09/2011 vide which BDO has stated that only 07 bills have been traced out, out of 17 bills and the information indicated on Pt. No. 4 the reply of First Appellate Authority has been prepared but it does not mention about sending etc to the appellant. The information provided is incomplete.

After hearing the representative of PIO/BDO (SW), I am of the view that revised information be provided to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Satender Kumar Garg, Flat No. 304, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Shri Ram Residency, Plot No. 1, Ahinsa Khand-II, Indirapuram, Ghaziabad 201014.
- 2. PIO/BDO(SW)

**Appeal No. 154/11** 

In the matter of: -

Sh Ashok Garg, M-12/16, DLF Phase-II Gurgaon - 122002.

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SR(IX), Distt. South West Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ashok Garg on 18/10/2011 against the PIO/SR(IX) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Suresh Malik on behalf of Sh. Ashok Garg, Applleant and Sh. Raj Kumar Saini, SR(IX). He has requested information regarding number of seller and purchaser, name of village, land area, total amount of sale consideration and registration number and date of each sale deed from 1<sup>st</sup> January 1999 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2001 for sale of agricultural land situated in the village of Rajakori, Rangpuri and Samalkha. SR-IX stated that the information is not available in the compiled form. He further informed that the record for the above mentioned period are not computeried SR-IX has also sent a written reply to the appellant vide his letter dt. 22/09/2011 vide which he has requested the appellant to inspect the relevant record.

After hearing both the parties, PIO/SR-IX is directed to give a revised reply to the appellant.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17th day of November, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Ashok Garg,M-12/16, DLF Phase-II, Gurgaon 122002.
- 2. PIO/SR-IX.

### **Appeal No. 160/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Ram Kishan, Kaba Mohalla, Village Chhawla, New Delhi-110071.

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ram Kishan on 21/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Ram Kishan, Appellant and Sh. Ranjan Kumar, NT (NG) on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The PIO/SDM (NG) has sent reply to the appellant on 5/10/2011. The appellant is not satisfied with the reply given that is why he has filed an appeal before the First Appellate Authority.

Further the appellant wanted to know the action taken on his application. The representative of the PIO has informed that the no action has been taken on his application. The required information has been provided by the PIO, accordingly no further orders are passed. After hearing both the parties, I am of the view that the available information has been provided to the appellant already. No further direction is required in this regard.

However, the appellant has a grievance that his land has not been demarcated. The undersigned spoke to SDM (NG) regarding this case. The appellant may meet SDM (NG) on 25/11/2011 at 2.00 P.M. for redressal of his grievances.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of. Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Ram Kishan, Kaba Mohalla, Village Chhawla, New Delhi-110071.
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).

# **Appeal No. 168/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Dhir Singh, WZ-97, Chaukandi Extension, Tilak Nagar, New Delhi-110018.

**Appellant** 

### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Dhir Singh on 25/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Dhir Singh, Appellant and Sh. P.V. Tyagi, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant has filed an RTI application on 20/09/2011. He stated that the reply has not been received from the PIO/SDM (NG). However, the representative of the PIO stated that they have sent the reply on 11/11/2011.

After hearing both the parties, the PIO/SDM (NG) is directed to sent the reply again to the appellant based on available information in the records within 15 days.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Dhir Singh, WZ-97, Chaukandi Extension, Tilak Nagar, New Delhi-110018.
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).

# **Appeal No. 166/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Dayanand, S/o Sh. Pohkar Ram, Village Galibpur, P.O. Rawta, New Delhi-110073.

Appellant

### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Dayanand on 01/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Dayanand, Appellant and Sh. P.V. Tyagi, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). Sh. Dayanand stated that he has received the reply in which it is stated that many questions pertains to BDO (SW) and BDO (SW) has not given any reply. Sh. Tyagi stated that the RTI Application was transferred to BDO (SW) U/Section 6(3) of the RTI Act 2005.

After hearing both the parties, I am of the view that revised information be provided to the applicant by the PIO/SDM (NG) and PIO/BDO (SW) within 15 days of issue of this order.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Dayanand, S/o Sh. Pohkar Ram, Village Galibpur, P.O. Rawta, New Delhi-110073
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).
- 3. PIO/BDO(SW)

### **Appeal No. 163/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Ashok Bhardwaj House No. 9-B, Dharampura, Najafgarh, New Delhi-110043.

**Appellant** 

#### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### <u>ORDER</u>

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ashok Bhardwaj on 27/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Ashok Bhardwaj, Appellant and Sh. P.V. Tyagi, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). PIO/SDM (NG) has provided information to the appellant vide his letter dt. 19/09/2011 and 22/09/2011 regarding pendency of mutation. The appellant stated that the pendency should be more than that. However, he could not cite specific reasons for this.

After hearing both the parties, I am of the view that all the mutation application should be entered in the computer alongwith their date of receipt of application and also the status of application should be given. The information should be posted on the oficial website of DC Ofice. The information should be village-wise. This action should be completed within one month. The appellant is satisfied with the information provided and further steps to host the information regarding mutation applications on oficial website.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Ashok Bhardwaj, House No. 9-B, Dharampura, Najafgarh, New Delhi-110043.
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).
- 3. SDM (VV)/SDM (DC) with the directions to take similar action
- 4. Assistant Programmer to assist all the SDMs in hosting this information on oficial website.
- 5. P.A. to DC (SW) for information of DC(SW)

# **Appeal No. 164/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Ramanand, S/o Sh. Prem Raj, Village Khar Khari Jatmal, P.O. Khaira, New Delhi-110043.

Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ramanand on 28/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Ramanand, Appellant and Sh. Ranjan Kumar, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant filed RTI application dt. 26/09/2011. The appellant has stated that he has received reply on 25/10/2011 from the Ofice of PIO/SDM (NG). The information provided by the PIO is not satisfactory as he stated that information sought is not available in the record files of this ofice. He wanted certified copies of certain record. The representative of the PIO stated that they are ready to provide certified copies of records available as per rules.

After hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to allow inspection of available records within 15 days of receipt of this order and provide the revised information as per available records.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Ramanand, S/o Sh. Prem Raj, Village Khar Khari Jatmal, P.O. Khaira, New Delhi-110043.
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).

# **Appeal No. 151/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Dharamraj, House No. 76, Village Kirari Suleman Nagar, P.O. Sultanpuri, Delhi-110086.

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Dharamraj on 15/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Dharamraj, Appellant and Sh. Ranjan Kumar, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). Information is required. "How many caste certificates have been issued with the derogatory word 'Chuhra' to the member of Balmiki Community during the period November 2010 to July 2011'. During the hearing DIO (NIC) was also called. She explained that this provision is not available in the software. However, the information may be available in the server as certificates are issued on the basis of Sub-Caste.

After hearing both the parties and DIO (NIC), I am of the view that the number of such certificates on which the derogatory word (Chuhra) issue to the Balmiki Community may be provided to the appellant for the period November 2010 to July 2011. The PIO may coordinate this information with DIO (NIC).

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 18th day of November, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Dharamraj, House No. 76, Village Kirari Suleman Nagar, P.O. Sultanpuri, Delhi-110086.
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).

### **Appeal No. 165/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. R. R. Singh, Flat No. 37, Sector-12, Pocket-4, Shubham Apartments, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075.

**Appellant** 

#### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Ofice Complex, Old Roshanpura Road, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. R.R. Singh on 31/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. R.R. Singh, Appellant and Sh. Ranjan Kumar, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant filed an RTI application dated 23/09/2011 before PIO/SDM (NG) for giving information is annexed with the appeal. The PIO sent reply of the RTI application vide his letter dated 22/10/2011.

As per reply of PIO, information required on Point No. 01 is not available in the ofice and Point 02 has been replied and 03 the appellant states that no information has been provided. However, the appellant may see the status of his applications by entering 10 digit ID No. on the delserv.nic.in to check status of their applications. Since the reply has already been provided to the appellant and he can see the status of application of his daughters online on oficial website. So, he need not file RTI application of his daughters for this purpose as information is available on the website.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of. Order issued on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. R.R. Singh, Flat No. 37, Sector-12, Pocket-4, Shubham Apartments, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075.
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).

**Appeal No. 148/11** 

In the matter of: -

Sh. Balbir Singh, V&PO Rajokari, New Delhi-110037.

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Balbir Singh on 12/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Balbir Singh, Appellant and Sh. Sunil Gaur, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (VV). The appellant filed an RTI application dated 12/08/2011 vide which he required following information:

"Intimate what action has been taken by the concerned authorities i.e. BDO/SDM/DC and also intimate the delay to compliance of order to demolish the encroachment on land in question".

The PIO/SDM(VV) has sent reply vide his letter dt. 17/09/2011 enclosing therein the report of concerned Patwari vide which he informed that the Khasra No. mentioned in the application are Gram Sabha Land on which there exist Harijan Basti and the Khasra No. 251 is 'Johd'. He informed that information regarding encroachment can be known only after demarcation. The information provided is incomplete.

After hearingFboth the parties, I am of the view that the appellant be provided revised information within 15 days of receipt of this order.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of. Order issued on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

Copy to:

1. Sh. Balbir Singh, V&PO Rajokari, New Delhi-110037.

2. PIO/SDM (VV).

**Appeal No. 167/11** 

In the matter of: -

Sh. R.K. Nain, Chamber No. 723, Western Wing, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi-110054

**Appellant** 

### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (VV), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. R.K. Nain on 22/09/2011 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

None present on behalf of the appellant as well as PIO/SDM (VV). The appellant informed that information has yet not been provided by the PIO and that is why he has filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority. PIO/SDM (VV) is directed to provide the available information to the appellant within 15 of receipt of this order

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 18th day of November, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

# Copy to:

1. Sh. R.K. Nain, Chamber No. 723, Western Wing, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi-110054 2. PIO/SDM (VV).

# **Appeal No. 152/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Ved Pal, S/o Sh. Balwant Singh, House No. 20/2 Railway Road, Sameypur, Delhi-110042

Appellant

### **VERSUS**

PIO/SDM (NG), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ved Pal on 18/10/2011 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The appellant filed an appeal before the First Appellate Authority stating therein that no reply has been received from PIO/SDM (NG) within prescribed time. None present on behalf of the appellant as well as PIO/SDM (NG). Perusal of the record reveals that no reply has been provided by the PIO/SDM (NG). The PIO/SDM (NG) is directed to provide reply based on available records within 15 days of receipt of this order.

In view of the above, the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 18th day of November, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Ved Pal, S/o Sh. Balwant Singh, House No. 20/2 Railway Road, Sameypur, Delhi-110042
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG).

**Appeal No. 155/11** 

In the matter of: -

Sh. Manjit Singh Chawla, 14-A, Shiv Partap Nagar, Near Dayal Bagh Chowk, Ambala Cantt-133001

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SR-IX, O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Manjit Singh Chawla on 18/10/2011 against the PIO/SR-IX under Right to Information Act, 2005.

None present on behalf of the appellant and Sh. R.K. Saini, PIO/SR-IX present. Aggrieved by the reply, he has preferred an appeal before the Ist Appellate Authority. The appellant has received reply from SR-IX dated 15/09/2011 stating therein that no such records are maintained by this ofice. The information required by the appellant is regarding certain property bearing no. WZ 156, Gali no. 2, Sadh Nagar, Palam Colony, New Delhi related to Sh. Narender Singh Chawla. As stated by PIO/SR-IX in the information can be provided if required sale deed number, volume number and date of execution is provided.

I agree with PIO/SR-IX to the extent that without sale deed number, volume number and date etc., it is difficult to eatch the required information.

After considering the records placed on file and hearing the SR-IX, the applicant is advised to seek specific information by giving the required registration details of the property by filing fresh RTI application.

Order issued on this 18th day of November, 2011.

Sd/-(**B.S. JAGLAN**) Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Manjit Singh Chawla, 14-A, Shiv Partap Nagar, Near Dayal Bagh Chowk, Ambala Cantt- 133001.
- 2. PIO/SR-IX.

# Appeal No. 04/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Satish Lohia, House No. 129, Lambi Gali, Village Ghitorni, New Delhi-1100 30

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Satish Lohia on 14/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Satish Lohia, Appellant and none present on behalf of PIO/SDM(VV). He has filed appeal against information provided by SDM(Vasant Vihar) vide his letter dt. 20/10/11. He stated that he wanted to know why name of the applicant Sh. Mohar Singh is existing in the revenue record. The appellant stated that he has asked for information on 07 points. However, the PIO has provided information mentioned at Point No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7. The appellant wanted to reddress his grievance regarding correction/entry of the Order of the Revenue Assistant in the revenue records. If he is aggrieved by such wrong entry, he should prefer appeal before the Competent Court under relevant revenue laws. The PIO/SDM(VV) may call the appellant for redressal of his grievance in presence of Tehsildar (VV) on any convenient day. The contact number of appellant is 9811887466.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 12th day of December, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

Copy to: -

Sh. Satish Lohia, House No. 129, Lambi Gali, Mangu Mohalla, Village Ghitorni, New Delhi-110030.

PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Appeal No. 07/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. P.K. Roy, G-1450, First Floor, C.R. Park, New Delhi-1100 19

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. P.K. Roy on 18/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Priyant Kanti Roy, on behalf of Sh. Pradip Kanti Roy, Appellant. Sh. Raj Kumar, Kanungo present on behalf of PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar). The records placed on file shows that no information has been given to the appellant. So the PIO is directed to provide available information to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 12th day of December, 2011.

Sd/-(B.S. JAGLAN) Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

Copy to: -

- 1. Sh. P.K. Roy, G-1450, First Floor, C.R. Park, New Delhi
- 2. PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

### Appeal No. 01/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Ishwar Singh, S/o Late Sh. Raje Ram R/o Village & P.O. Surhera, New Delhi-1100 43

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(HQ/DC), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ishwar Singh on 14/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(HQ/DC) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Mange Ram on behalf of Sh. Ishwar Singh, Appellant and Sh. V.S. Sekhawat for PIO/SDM(DC/HQ). The representative of PIO/SDM(DC/HQ) stated that required information related to Najafgarh Sub-Division and they have transferred this application to PIO/SDM(NG) vide letter no. 1467 dt. 29/08/2011 Under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act 2005. The representative of the appellant stated that no information has yet been provided by concerned PIO/SDM(NG). He stated that 30 days time has already expired. PIO/SDM(NG) is directed to provide the required information based on available records within 15 days of this order.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 08th day of December, 2011.

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Sd/-

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

Copy to: -

Sh. Ishwar Singh, S/o Late Sh. Raje Ram. R/o Village & P.O. Surhera, New Delhi-110043 PIO/SDM(HQ), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

### Appeal No. 02/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Raj Kumar, S/o Sh. Jagdish, R/o Khasra No. 393/11 Village Nasirpur, New Delhi-1100 45

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(HQ/DC), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Raj Kumar on 14/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(HQ/DC) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

None present on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. V.S. Sekhawat appeared on behalf on PIO/SDM(DC/HQ). The representative of PIO/SDM(DC/HQ) stated that they have transferred the RTI Application to PA to DC(SW) under Section 6(3) of the RTI Act 2005 vide letter no. 2301 dt. 19/09/11.

Perusal of records reveal that PA to DC is not a PIO so PIO/SDM(HQ) is directed to get information from PA to DC U/sec. 5, Sub-Section 4 & 5 of the RTI Act 2005 and supply the same to the appellant within 15 days of issue of this order.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

Copy to: -

- 1. Sh. Raj Kumar, S/o Sh. Jagdish, R/o Khasra No. 393/11, Village Nasirpur, New Delhi-110045
- 2. PIO/SDM(HQ), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi
- 3. PA to DC(SW)

Appeal No. 06/11

In the matter of: -

Sh.Mukesh Kumar Dagar, S/o Sh. Udai Singh Dagar, House No. 2, Malikpur, New Delhi-1100 73

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/BDO(SW), BDO Ofice Complex Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Mukesh Dagar on 18/11/2011 against the PIO/BDO(SW) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Mukesh Dagar appellant and Sh. Roop Kishore, Extn. Oficer (Agriculture). Sh. Dagar stated that he received a reply vide letter no. 4843 dt. 17/10/11 from BDO Ofice (Najafgarh). In the reply Point No. 2, 3, 6, 7 are related to SDM's Ofice (Najafagarh) and the concerned Tehsildar has been requested to provide information to the appellant. The RTI application was transferred to Tehsildar (Najafgarh) vide BDO letter no. 4844-45 dt. 17/10/11. The representative of BDO/PIO stated that there is a mistake and there are more points related to Tehsildar (Najafgarh).

After hearing both the parties and perusal of records placed before me, no reply has been given by SDM(NG)/Tehsildar(NG). So, reply be given by SDM(Najafgarh)/PIO and Tehsildar (Najafgarh) regarding issues related to them. BDO(SW) is directed to once again provide the copy of the RTI application to SDM(Najafgarh). The reply be provided to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appellant Sh. Mukesh Dagar stated that he has grievance regarding encroachment on Gaon Sabha land by encroachers. SDM(NG) vide letter no. 2097 dt. 27/07/10 directed BDO(SW) to remove the encroachment. BDO(SW) is directed to take immediate necessary steps to protect the Gaon Sabha land of Malikpur Village. The copy of the letter of SDM(NG) dt. 27/07/10 is enclosed for ready reference of BDO(SW).

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 12th day of December, 2011.

Encl: As above.

Sd/-(**B.S. JAGLAN**) Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

Copy to: -

- 1. Sh. Mukesh Kumar Dagar, S/o Sh. Udai Singh Dagar, House No. 2, Malik Pur, New Delhi-110073.
- 2. BDO(SW), BDO Ofice Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

3. PA to DC(SW) for information of DC(SW)

### Appeal No. 05/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Pravin Kumar Mutreja, Advocate, Supreme Court of India H-25, Masjid Moth, Greater Kailash Part-II, New Delhi-1100 45

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Pravin Kumar Mutreja on 16/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The appellant filed RTI Application on 14/10/2011 before PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar). Sh. Raj Kumar, Kanungo present on behalf of PIO. The PIO vide his letter no. 5089 dt. 31/10/11 has furnished the required information. The PIO has provided the information based on records maintained in his ofice. The appellant has also asked certain questions such as Point No. 1(C), Point No. 2, Point No. 3, and Point No. 4 vide these questions the appellant wanted to know the views of the PIO are not covered under RTI Act 2005

After hearing the representative of PIO and going through the records placed on file, I am of the view that the PIO has provided the information based on records available with him. So the contention of the appellant was not found justified and the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 12th day of December, 2011.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)
Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/
ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

'Copy to: Dr. Pravin Kumar Mutreja, Advocate Supreme Court of India, H-25, Masjid Moth, Greater Kailash Part-II, New Delhi-110048

### Appeal No. 08/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Ran Singh, S/o Late Sh. Lekhram, R/o House No. 8, Police Colony, Vasant Kunj New Delhi

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/Tehsildar (LA), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Ran Singh on 21/11/2011 against the PIO/Teshildar (LA) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Ran Singh along with Sh. Dharamvir and Sh. Subhashish, PIO/Tehsildar (LA). The appellant filed RTI application vide letter dt. 13/10/11 ID. No. 137. Reply of the same was provided to the appellant vide letter no. 7366 dt. 09/11/2011. The appellant aggrieved by the information filed appeal before the undersigned on 21/11/11.

The PIO stated that he has provided the information as per available records in the Branch. The appellant requested that he should be provided the clubbed holding as given for others.

After hearing both the parties and going through the records placed on file, the PIO is directed to once again go through his available records in the Branch and provide the information to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order.

Order issued on this 08<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

Sd/-(**B.S. JAGLAN**) Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

1. Sh. Ran Singh, S/o Late Sh. Lekhram, R/o House No. 8, Police Colony, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi.

# Appeal No. 03/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rattan Singh Solanki, S/o Late Sh. Roshan Lal, R/o WZ-913B, Dada Dev Road, Badiyal, V&PO Palam, New Delhi-110045

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SR-IX, O/o Dy. Comm. (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rattan Singh Solanki on 15/11/2011 against the PIO/SR-IX under Right to Information Act, 2005.

None present on behalf of the appellant and PIO/SR-IX. The appellant Sh. Rattan Singh Solanki filed an appeal stating therein that he has not yet received any information requested by him vide his application dt. 14/09/2011 filed before PIO/OSD to Lt. Governor, Raj Niwas, Delhi.

The perusal of information filed by the appellant reveals that he required certified copy of "General Power of Attorney executed by Sh. Om Prakash, S/o Sh. Murlidhar, R/o WZ-787, Village and Post Ofice Palam, New Delhi in the name of Sh. Sant Ram, S/o Sh. Rattan Singh, R/o Village Bamdauli, Distt. Jhajjar, Haryana of a residential plot under Sub Registrar-II, Janakpuri on 24/09/2001, Regd. No. 31332 in Addl. Book-3, Volume No. 5334"

This matter does not come under the jurisdiction of First Appellate Authority, South West as Janakpuri falls under the jurisdiction of DC(West). The appellant is advised to file his application for obtaining certified copy by applying through Form CAI before the Sub-Registrar(II), Janakpuri or he may file fresh RTI application before the Sub-Registrar(II), Janakpuri, New Delhi-110058. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 08th day of December, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

### Copy to: -

- 1. Sh. Rattan Singh Solanki, S/o Lt. Sh. Roshan Lal, R/o WZ-913B, Dada Dev Road, Badiyal, V&PO Palam, New Delhi-110045
- 2. SR-IX, O/o Dy. Comm. (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Appeal No. 12/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Vinod Kumar, S/o Late Sh. Narian Singh, R/o V&PO Surehra, New Delhi-110043

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(NG) BDO Office Complex Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Vinod Kumar on 21/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Mange Ram, Authorised Representative of the Appellant and Sh. P.V. Tyagi, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The representative of the appellant stated that he has received some information and the balance will be provided by the PIO as informed by Sh. P.V. Tyagi, NT within 15 days of receipt of this order. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

- 1. Sh. Vinod Kumar, S/o Late Sh. Narian Singh, R/o V&PO Surehra, New Delhi-110043
- 2. PIO/SDM (NG) to provide the balance information.

### **Appeal No. 15/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Manish Rana, S/o Sh. Om Praskash Rana, R/o Near Water Tank, Bijwasan, New Delhi-110061

**Appellant** 

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV), Kapashera, New Delhi
- 2. PIO/SR-IX, Kapashera, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Manish Rana on 07/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(VV) and PIO/SR-IX under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Manish Rana, Appellant and Sh. Kiran Thapliyal, UDC on behalf of PIO/SR-IX and Sh. Sunil Gaur, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM(VV). The appellant stated that he has not yet received any information in response to his RTI Application.

The appellant is also having a grievance regarding impounding of his documents by the O/o SR-IX and Collector of Stamps SDM(VV). The appellant informed that sale deeds are registered at circle rates for H Category for Bijwasan Village, while his document of the same circle rate has un-necessarily been impounded. Further, SDM(VV) and SR-IX are directed to resolve the grievance of the appellant at the earliest and submit the compliance report to the undersigned within 03 days.

The PIO is directed to provide the available information within 15 days of receipt of this order. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

- 1. Manish Rana, S/o Sh. Om Praskash Rana, R/o Near Water Tank, Bijwasan, New Delhi-110061
- 2. PIO/SDM (Vasant Vihar), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi
- 3. PIO/SR-IX, O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi

### Appeal No. 10 & 11/11

In the matter of: -

Sh. Maha Singh Tanwar, Pradhan, Nariana Jan Kalyan Sudhar Sangam (Regd), WZ-198, Nariana New Delhi-110028

**Appellant** 

PIO/SDM(Delhi Cantt/HQ)
O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW),

O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW) Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

**VERSUS** 

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Maha Singh Tanwar on 28/11/2011 against the PIO/SDM(HQ) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The appellant filed RTI Application on 28/10/2011 before PIO/SDM(HQ). As stated by him, he did not receive any reply from the PIO. Aggrieved by this he filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 28/11/2011. None present on behalf of the appellant. Sh. Subashish, Tehsildar (DC) present on behalf of SDM(DC). The representative of PIO stated that they have already sent reply to the appellant vide letter no. 3050 dt. 28/11/2011. The information might have been received by the appellant, so he did not attend the present hearing.

The appellant has filed another appeal no. 10/11 dated 28/11/2011 also on the same subject. In this appeal the appellant has sought additional information regarding Basai Darapur. This area falls under the jurisdiction of Dy. Commissioner (West). So, the appellant is directed to approach Dy. Commissioner (West) for hearing of his appeal regarding Basai Darapur.

Since, the information has been provided; no further order is required in this appeal. The appeals are accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

Sd/-

(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. Sh. Maha Singh Tanwar, Pradhan, Nariana Jan Kalyan Sudhar Sangam (Regd), WZ-198, Nariana, New Delhi-110028.
- 2. PIO/SDM(Delhi Cantt), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

**Appeal No. 17/11** 

In the matter of: -

Ms. Rajani Jain, D/o Sh. M.K. Jain, D-1A, Green Park, New Delhi-110016

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Ms. Rajani Jain on 14/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The appellant filed RTI Application on 29/09/2011 before PIO/SDM(VV). As stated by her, she did not receive any reply from the PIO. Aggrieved by this she filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide her letter dt. 14/12/2011. None present on behalf of the appellant. Sh. S.K. Sharma, Kanungo present on behalf of PIO/SDM(VV). The representative of PIO stated that they have provided information to the appellant, but could not produce the copy of the same as the Naib Tehsildar is on leave owing to sickness and all the records is locked in his alimarah.

The PIO/SDM (VV) is directed to send a copy of the information, already sent, to the appellant again within 15 days of receipt of this order. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

- 1. Ms. Rajani Jain, D/o Sh. M.K Jain, D-1A, Green Park, New Delhi-110016.
- 2. PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

**Appeal No. 18/11** 

In the matter of: -

Sh. Anil Kumar, S/o Sh. Harikrishan, House No. 206, V&PO Nawada, New Delhi-110059

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Anil Kumar on 20/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The appellant filed RTI Application on 08/11/2011 before PIO/SDM(VV). As stated by him, he did not receive any reply from the PIO. Aggrieved by this he filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 20/12/2011. None present on behalf of the appellant. Sh. S.K. Sharma, Kanungo present on behalf of PIO/SDM(VV). The representative of PIO stated that they have provided information to the appellant, but could not produce the copy of the same as the Naib Tehsildar is on leave owing to sickness and all the records is locked in his alimarah.

The PIO/SDM(VV) is directed to send a copy of the information, already sent, to the appellant again within 15 days of receipt of this order. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

- 1. Sh. Anil Kumar, S/o Sh. Harikrishan, House No. 206, V&PO Nawada, New Delhi-110059
- 1. PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

# **Appeal No. 14/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Chand Singh, S/o Late Sh. Chhelu Ram, 1625-E, Dhansa Bus Stand, Najafgarh, New Delhi-110059

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(HQ) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Chand Singh on 01/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(HQ) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

The appellant Sh. Chand Singh filed an appeal dt. 01/12/2011 against the information provided by PIO/SDM(HQ) vide his letter dt. 15/11/2011. Present Sh. Chand Singh and Sh. V.S. Sekhawant on behalf of PIO/SDM(HQ).

The PIO/SDM(HQ) has provided information vide his letter dt. 15/11/2011 and stated that the required information is not covered U/sec. 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. The PIO/SDM(HQ) is directed to provide the information regarding second part of the information i.e. regarding validity of documents etc. asked by the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

- 1. Sh. Chand Singh, S/o Late Sh. Chhelu Ram, 1625-E, Dhansa Bus Stand, Najafgarh, New Delhi-110059.
- 2. PIO/SDM(HQ), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

# **Appeal No. 14/11**

In the matter of: -

Sh. Dinesh Kumar Yadav, Jt. Secretary, Swatantra Jan Jagriti Sansthan, Kh. No. 378, (Raj Singh Complex), Ist Floor, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037

**Appellant** 

PIO/SDM(VV) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

**VERSUS** 

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Dinesh Kumar Yadav, on 13/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Present Sh. Dinesh Kumar Yadav, Appellant and Sh. S.K. Sharma, Kanungo on behalf of PIO/SDM(VV). The appellant stated that he did not receive the reply from PIO/SDM(VV) i.e. why he has filed an appeal before the undersigned. He further stated that he has received the reply from PIO/SDM(VV) after filing appeal. He has no further grievance with reference to the information received.

No, further orders are passed in this appeal. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Order issued on this 28th day of December, 2011.

- 1. Sh. Dinesh Kumar Yadav, Jt. Secretary, Swatantra Jan Jagriti Sansthan, Kh. No. 378, (Raj Singh Complex), Ist Floor, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037
- 2. Sh. PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

# **Appeal No. 19/12**

In the matter of: -

Ms. Neha, 289, Moti Lal Nehru Camp, Kapashera, New Delhi-110067

**Appellant** 

PIO/SDM(VV) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

**VERSUS** 

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Ms. Neha, on 26/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Ms. Neha Kumari filed an RTI Application before PIO/SDM(VV) vide letter dt. 24/10/11. The PIO/SDM(VV) sent reply vide his letter no. DC(SW)/SDM(VV)/2011/5640 dt. 02/12/11.

Present Mrs. Kumsumlata mother of the appellant and Sh. Alok Sharma, PIO/SDM(VV) alongwith Sh. Ajit Singh, NT(VV). The representative of the appellant stated that point-wise reply has not been provided by the PIO/SDM(VV). PIO/SDM(VV) stated that the reply has been provided on the basis of available records.

After hearing both the parties and going through the records, I am of the view that pointwise reply should be provided to the appellant. The PIO is directed to provide point-wise reply to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 19th day of January, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Ms. Neha, 289, Moti Lal Nehru Camp, New Delhi-110067.

**Appeal No. 20 /12** 

In the matter of: -

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, 289, Moti Lal Nehru Camp, Kapashera, New Delhi-110067

**Appellant** 

PIO/SDM(VV) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

**VERSUS** 

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Sanjiv Kumar, on 26/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(Vasant Vihar) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Sanjiv Kumar filed an RTI Application before PIO/SDM(VV) vide letter dt. 24/10/11. The PIO/SDM(VV) sent reply vide his letter no. DC(SW)/SDM(VV)/2011/5639 dt. 02/12/11.

Present Mrs. Kumsumlata mother of the appellant and Sh. Alok Sharma, PIO/SDM(VV) alongwith Sh. Ajit Singh, NT(VV). The representative of the appellant stated that point-wise reply has not been provided by the PIO/SDM(VV). PIO/SDM(VV) stated that the reply has been provided on the basis of available records.

After hearing both the parties and going through the records, I am of the view that pointwise reply should be provided to the appellant. The PIO is directed to provide point-wise reply to the appellant again within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 19th day of January, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, 289, Moti Lal Nehru Camp, New Delhi-110067.

### **Appeal No. 22 /12**

In the matter of: -

Mr. Vishamber Singh Meena, House No. 253, Sector-6, P&T Quarters, R.K. Puram, New Delhi-110022

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(VV) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Vishamber Singh Meena, on 29/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM(VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Vishamber Singh Meena has initially filed an RTI Application dt. 18/11/2011 with PIO/SDM(VV) seeking information:

- 1. What is the present status of my aforesaid application?
- 2. What is the file number wherein my aforesaid applications are being dealt with?

He further informed that he has not received any reply. Aggrieved by this he filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 29/12/2011.

None present on behalf of the appellant and Sh. Alok Sharma, PIO/SDM(VV) alongwith Sh. Ajit Singh, NT(VV). Perusal of the records, reveals that reply has been provided, to the appellant vide Dy. No. SDM/VV/2011/7631 dt. 15/12/2011 and copy of the same is enclosed.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 19th day of January, 2012.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Sh. Vishamber Singh Meena, House No. 253, Sector-6, P&T Quarters, R.K. Puram, ND-22 (along with copy of reply enclosed)

**Appeal No. 23 /12** 

In the matter of: -

Mr. S.K. Gandhi, CA/15A, DDA Flats, Munirka New Delhi-110067

**Appellant** 

PIO/SR-IX O/o Dy. Commissioner (South West), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

### ORDER

**VERSUS** 

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. S.K. Gandhi, on 27/12/2011 against the PIO/SR-IX under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. S.K. Gandhi, filed an RTI Application before PIO/SR-IX vide letter dt. 25/11/2011. He further informed that he has not received any reply. Aggrieved by this he filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 27/12/2011

Present Sh. S.K. Gandhi appellant. None present on behalf of PIO/SR-IX. The PIO has sent a letter to the Appellant stating therein that he may visit this office on any working day and inspect the relevant record. Further, the perusal of the RTI application reveals that the appellant is seeking information regarding lease deed/rent agreement registered with SR-IX in respect of shops/flats situated in Sarojini Nagar Market. The information sought is generalized information which will be difficult for the PIO to find out. The appellant is advised to file specific shop number to get their lease deed/rent agreement etc. The appellant may visit the Office of the PIO/SR-IX between to 02.00 to 04.00 P.M. on any working between Monday to Friday within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 27th day of January, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SR-IX, O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Sh. SK. Gandhi, CA/15A, DDA Flats, Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

No. Dated

Shri Chand Singh, S/o Late Sh. Chhelu Ram, 1625-E, Dhansa Bus Stand, Najafgarh, New Delhi-110037

Sir,

Please refer to your appeal filed before the First Appellate Authority vide your letter dt. 17/01/2012. It is mentioned that First Appellate Authority heard your appeal on 28/12/2011 and directed the PIO to provide you the second part of the information within 15 days. It appears that you are not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO and i.e. why you have filed the present appeal before the undersigned. As per RTI Act 2005, there is no provision for hearing of appeal on the same matter second time.

It is advised that if you are not satisfied with the information provided by the PIO, you may prefer 2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal before the Hon'ble CIC.

## **Appeal No. 28 /12**

In the matter of: -

Mr. Anil, S/o Sh. M.S. Yadav, A-54, Gali No. 10, Raj Nagar Part-II, Palam Colony, New Delhi-110075

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(NG) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Anil, on 09/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM(NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Anil, filed an RTI Application before PIO/SDM(NG) vide letter dt. 14/07/11. He further informed that he has not received any reply. Aggrieved by this he filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 09/01/2012.

Present Sh. Anil, Appellant. None present on behalf of PIO/SDM(NG). The PIO/SDM(NG) is directed to provide information to the appellant within 15 days of issue of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 27th day of January, 2012.

Sd/(B.S. JAGLAN)

Ist APPELLATE AUTHORITY/

ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (SOUTH WEST)

- 1. PIO/SDM(NG), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Sh. Anil, S/o Sh. M.S. Yadav, A-54, Gali No. 10, Near Dada Dev Mandir, Raj Nagar Part-II, New Delhi-110075.

**Appeal No. 29 /12** 

In the matter of: -

Mr. Bhan Singh, House No. 61, Masoodpur, New Delhi-110070

**Appellant** 

PIO/SDM(VV) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.

Respondent

#### <u>ORDER</u>

VERSUS

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Bhan Singh, on 10/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM(VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Bhan Singh, filed an RTI Application before PIO/SDM(VV) vide letter dt. 10/10/11. He further informed that he has not received any reply. Aggrieved by this he filed appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 10/01/2012.

Present Sh. Bhan, Appellant and Sh. Ajit Singh, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM(VV). The PIO/SDM(VV) is directed to provide reply to the appellant within 15 days of issue of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 27th day of January, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Comm. (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Sh. Bhan Singh, House No. 61, Masoodpur, New Delhi-110070.

## Appeal No. 24/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rambir Singh, House No. 819, Rao Fateh Singh Marg, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

PIO/BDO(SW) BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rambir Singh, on 05/01/2012 against the PIO/BDO(SW) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rambir Singh, filed an RTI Application before PIO/BDO(SW) vide letter dt. 01/11/11. The PIO/BDO(SW) has sent reply vide his letter no. 6020 dt. 30/11/11. Dissatisfied with the reply, he preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 05/01/2012.

None present on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Pravin Tomar, VLW appeared on behalf of PIO/BDO(SW). Perusal of the record reveals that the PIO/BDO(SW) has provided the information based on records available with him. So, the contention of the appellant was not found justified and the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 27th day of January, 2012.

- 1. PIO/BDO(SW), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi
- 2. Sh. Rambir Singh, House No. 819, Rao Fateh Singh Marg, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037

## Appeal No. 27/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Tejpal Singh, Delhi Pradesh Congress Committee (OBC Cell), 65, Nasirpur, New Delhi-110045

Appellant

PIO/BDO(SW) BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.

Respondent

#### ORDER

**VERSUS** 

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Tejpal Singh, on 06/01/2012 against the PIO/BDO(SW) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Tejpal Singh, filed an RTI Application before PIO/BDO(SW) vide letter dt. 20/10/11. The PIO/BDO(SW) has sent reply vide his letter no. 4967 dt. 02/11/11. Dissatisfied with the reply, he preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 06/01/2012.

None present on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Jagdish Prasad, VLW appeared on behalf of PIO/BDO(SW). Perusal of the record reveals that the PIO/BDO(SW) has provided the information based on records available with him. So, the contention of the appellant was not found justified and the appeal is accordingly disposed of.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 27th day of January, 2012.

- 1. PIO/BDO(SW), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi
- 2. Sh. Tejpal Singh, Delhi Pradesh Congress Committee (OBC Cell), 65, Nasirpur, New Delhi-110045

## Appeal No. 36/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Sat Prakash Rana, MLA, 823, Bijwasan, New Delhi-110061

**Appellant** 

PIO/SDM (VV) O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW) New Delhi.

Respondent

#### <u>ORDER</u>

VERSUS

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Sat Prakash Rana, MLA on 26/12/2011 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Sat Prakash Rana, Hon'ble MLA, filed an RTI Application before PIO/SDM (VV) vide letter dt. 26/11/11. The PIO/SDM (VV) has sent reply vide his letter no. SDM/VV/2011/7669 dt. 17/12/11. Dissatisfied with the reply, he preferred an appeal before the First Appellate Authority vide his letter dt. 26/12/2011 and received in this office on 19/01/2012.

None present on behalf of the Appellant. Sh. Ajit Singh, NT appeared on behalf of PIO/SDM (VV). Perusal of the record reveals that the PIO/SDM (VV) has provided the required information from 2002 to 2005. However, no information regarding 2001 is shown as NIL. The PIO/SDM (VV) is directed to once again go through the records and provide information regarding 2001 within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Sh. Sat Prakash Rana, MLA 823, Bijwasan, New Delhi-110037

## Appeal No. 25/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rambir Singh, House No. 819, Rao Fateh Singh Marg, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV)
- 2. PIO/SDM(DC)
- 3. PIO/SDM(NG)

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rambir Singh on 05/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rambir Singh filed an RTI Application before PIO, District South West and the same was forwarded to all the PIO's U/sec. 6(3) of the RTI Act 2005 vide letter dt. 01/11/11. As no information has been provided, so Sh. Rambir Singh, Appellant filed present appeal dt. 05/01/2012.

Present Sh. Rambir Singh, Appellant. Sh. Ajit Singh, NT appeared on behalf of PIO/SDM (VV), Sh. V.S.Sekhawat, LDC present on behalf of PIO/SDM (DC) and Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). As stated by the Appellant no information has been provided by the PIO's. So the PIOs are directed to provide the same within 15 days of receipt of this order.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. PIO/SDM(DC), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi
- 3. PIO/SDM (NG), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 4. Sh. Rambir Singh, House No. 819, Rao Fateh Singh Marg, Kapashera, New Delhi-110037

### Appeal No. 35/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. V.C. Jain, 9/241, Sector-3, Rajender Nagar, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh

Uttar Pradesh Appellant

**VERSUS** 

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV)
- 2. PIO/SDM(DC)
- 3. PIO/SDM(NG)

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. V.K. Jain, on 17/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. V.C. Jain filed an Appeal vide his letter dt. 09/01/2012 received in this office on 17/01/2012 stating therein that the information has not been provided from this District.

Present Sh. Ajit Singh, NT appeared on behalf of PIO/SDM (VV), Sh. V.S.Sekhawat, LDC present on behalf of PIO/SDM (DC) and Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM(NG). Since the Appellant was not present, so the records placed in the file were again gone through. It appears that Sh. V.C. Jain wanted to know the role of APIO's. All the representatives of PIO's present before me stated in their public authorities the replies are signed and sent by PIO and not be APIO. In view of this no further orders are required on the appeal filed by the Appellant.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly. Let the copy of the orders be sent to all the PIOs and the Appellant.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM(VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. PIO/SDM(DC), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi
- 3. PIO/SDM(NG), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 4. Sh. V.C. Jain, 9/241, Rajender Nagar, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh.

## Appeal No. 33/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM (VV), O/o Deputy Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (VV) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM (HQ-III) to PIO/SDM (Hauz Khas) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012. Again PIO/SDM (Hauz Khas) forwarded the same to PIO/SDM (VV) vide his letter dt. 20/12/2011.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Ajit Singh, NT on behalf of PIO/SDM (VV). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Ghitorni Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (VV), O/o Dy. Commissioner (SW), Kapashera, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065

## Appeal No. 34/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM (HQ-III) to PIO/SDM (NG) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Paprawat Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065

## Appeal No. 31/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM(NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM(HQ-III) to PIO/SDM(NG) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM(NG). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Rewlakhanpur Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM(Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065

## Appeal No. 32/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM (HQ-III) to PIO/SDM (NG) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Kharkhari Rond Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065

## Appeal No. 31/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

**Appellant** 

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM(Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM (HQ-III) to PIO/SDM (NG) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Chhawla Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065

## Appeal No. 30/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

Appellant

**VERSUS** 

PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM (HQ-III) to PIO/SDM (NG) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Chhawla Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065

## Appeal No. 31/12

In the matter of: -

Sh. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60, (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi

Appellant VERSUS

PIO/SDM (Najafgarh),

BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi

Respondent

#### ORDER

This order shall dispose of the present appeal filed by Sh. Rajiv Jain, on 12/01/2012 against the PIO/SDM (NG) under Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sh. Rajiv Jain filed an RTI Application dt. 25/11/2011 before the PIO/Divisional Commissioner, 5 Shamnath Marg, Delhi. The same was forwarded to PIO/SDM (HQ-III) to PIO/SDM (NG) vide his letter dt. 05/12/2012.

Present Sh. Rajiv Jain, Appellant. Sh. Neeraj, UDC on behalf of PIO/SDM (NG). The appellant in this regard stated that he has not yet received any information regarding his RTI related to Rewla Khanpur Village. Since, the reply has not been provided by the PIO, so the PIO is directed to provide the reply to the appellant within 15 days of receipt of this order. Moreover the appellant has requested for some additional information for which he was advised to file fresh RTI Application.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

Order issued on this 01st day of February, 2012.

- 1. PIO/SDM (Najafgarh), BDO Office Complex, Najafgarh, New Delhi.
- 2. Mr. Rajiv Jain, C/o Sarthak Advocates & Solicitors, G-60 (LGF), East of Kailash, New Delhi-110065