F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/72/2010/

Dated:

Sh. Ram Prasad Bismil Vs. PIO/SDM (Seelampur)

(RTI Application 19.10.2010)

## ORDER 13.12.2010

Present:

Sh. Ram Prasad Bismil, appellant

Sh. Rajiv Sharma, Kanoongo, Seelampur Sub Division

The appellant filed this Appeal on the ground that PIO has not provided information in respect of RTI application dated 19.10.2010.

Sh. Rajiv Sharma shown a reply dated 01.11.2010 given to the appellant. However, it is found that this reply is pertaining to an another RTI application dated 20.10.2010. It seems that the RTI application dated 19.10.2010 has been overlooked by the staff members any how.

PIO is directed to provide the reply of RTI application dated 19.10.2010 to the appellant directly within 07 days from today.

Accordingly, this appeal is hereby disposed of.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY/ ADM (NE)

Applicant may refer section 19(3) of RTI Act-2005 – A Second Appeal against the decision lies within 90 days from the date on which the decision has been made. Second Appeal can be made with Central Information Commission, Block-4 old JNU Campus, New Delhi.

F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/72/2010/ 1783

Dated: 13/12/20/0

Copy to: -

1. Appellant.

2 SPIO/SDM (Seelampur), Distt. North-East, Delhi.

3. DIO, NIC, Distt. North-East with advice for uploading on website.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY ADM (NE)

#### F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/67/2010/

Dated:

Sh. Bal Kishan Gupta Vs. PIO/SDM (Seemapuri)

(RTI Application I.D. No.20105 Dated 24.07.2010)

ORDER 13,12,2010

Present:

Sh. Bal Kishan Gupta/appellant

Sh. Vipin Garg, SDM, Seemapuri/PIO

This Appeal is filed on the ground that the P.I.O. has not provided the correct information of the questions (except question No.1) raised in above said RTI application.

From perusal of record it is evident that PIO has provided information to the appellant, however the appellant is not satisfied with the informations given. All the questions were discussed in detail. SDM, Seemapuri/ PIO stated that he has provided all the informations available in the records.

It is observed that the issues pertains to M.C.D., Industries Department, Pollution Control Committee, GNCTD in the said RTI application. PIO has only transferred the question No.9 to 12 to MCD for reply whereas other questions (Q.No. 2 to Q. No.8) have not been transferred to the concerned

PIO/SDM, Seemapuri is directed to forward the RTI application to the concerned

departments/PIOs for reply pertaining to their matters.

Further appellant is advised to submit written application to the SDM, Industries department etc. in respect of any particular grievances/problem for further necessary action by the department as per Law.

Accordingly, this appeal is hereby disposed of.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY/ ADM (NE)

Applicant may refer section 19(3) of RTI Act-2005 - A Second Appeal against the decision lies within 90 days from the date on which the decision has been made. Second Appeal can be made with Central Information Commission, Block-4 old JNU Campus, New Delhi.

F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/59/2010/ 178

Copy to: -1. Appellant.

2. SPIO/SDM (Seemapuri), Distt. North-East, Delhi.

3. DIO, NIC, Distt. North-East with advice for uploading on website.

FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

ADM (NE)

F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/72/2010/

Dated:

Sh. Ram Prasad Bismil Vs. PIO/SDM (Seelampur)

(RTI Application 19.10.2010)

## ORDER 13.12.2010

Present:

Sh. Ram Prasad Bismil, appellant

Sh. Rajiv Sharma, Kanoongo, Seelampur Sub Division

The appellant filed this Appeal on the ground that PIO has not provided information in respect of RTI application dated 19.10.2010.

Sh. Rajiv Sharma shown a reply dated 01.11.2010 given to the appellant. However, it is found that this reply is pertaining to an another RTI application dated 20.10.2010. It seems that the RTI application dated 19.10.2010 has been overlooked by the staff members any how.

PIO is directed to provide the reply of RTI application dated 19.10.2010 to the appellant directly within 07 days from today.

Accordingly, this appeal is hereby disposed of.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY/ ADM (NE)

Applicant may refer section 19(3) of RTI Act-2005 – A Second Appeal against the decision lies within 90 days from the date on which the decision has been made. Second Appeal can be made with Central Information Commission, Block-4 old JNU Campus, New Delhi.

F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/72/2010/ 1784

Dated: 13|12|

Copy to: -

1. Appellant.

2. SPIO/SDM (Seelampur), Distt. North-East, Delhi.

3. DIO, NIC, Distt. North-East with advice for uploading on website.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY ADM (NE)

## F.NO.PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/74/2010/

Dated:

## SH. NARENDER CHAUDHARY

V/s.

- P.I.O./SDM, Seelam Pur 1.
- 2. P.I.O./Tehsildar, L.A.C. Branch, Distt. North East

#### ORDER 13.12.2010

Today present Sh. Rajiv Sharma, Kanoongo on behalf of PIO/SDM, Seelampur. Also present Sh. Vikram, N.T. on behalf of PIO/Tehsildar, LA Branch.

It is informed that in compliance of this Office directions vide order dated 01.12.2010, the reply of RTI application has been sent to the appellant on 10.12.2010 by both PIOs.

The appellant was asked to remain present on 13.12.2010, if he does not receive the reply or if he is not satisfy with the reply submitted by PIOs. However appellant did not appear today, nor any communication from him received by this office.

Accordingly this appeal is disposed of.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY/ ADM (NE)

Applicant may refer section 19(3) of RTI Act-2005 - A Second Appeal against the decision lies within 90 days from the date on which the decision has been made. Second Appeal can be made with Central Information Commission, Block-4 old JNU Campus, New Delhi.

F.NO.PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/74/2010/

Dated: 15712/2000

Copy to: -

1. Appellant.

2. P.I.O./SDM, Seelam Pur

3. P.I.O./Tehsildar, L.A.C. Branch, Distt. North East

DIO, NIC, Distt. North-East with advice for uploading on website.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY ADM (NE)

F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/75/2010/

Dated:

Sh. Rameshwar Sharma Vs. PIOs (Distt. North East)

RTI Application Dated 13.08.2010

ORDER 13.12.2010

Present:

Sh. Vipin Garg, SDM, Seemapuri/PIO

Sh. K.K. Goswami, SR, Seelampur/APIO

Sh. Amrinder Parel, Steno on behalf of SDM, Shahdara/PIO

Sh. Anil Sharma, UDC on behalf of SDM (HQ)/PIO Sh. M.L. Jain, SR, Seemapuri/Shahdara (PIO)

Appellant not present.

This appeal is filed by appellant vide letter dated 23.10.2010 addressed to Appellate Authority, Office of Divisional Commissioner/Inspector General of Registration (Delhi), GNCTD on the ground that PIOs have not provided the information asked for in RTI application 13.08.2010. The said Appeal has been forwarded to various Appellate Authorities including this office by Revenue department, GNCTD vide letter dated 16.11.2010.

From perusal of records, it is noticed that the said RTI application dated 13.08.2010 was filed by the appellant to the PIO, Divisional Commissioner Office, Delhi. The said RTI application was forwarded by Revenue department and was received by D.C. (NE) office on dated 08.09.2010. The same was forwarded to all PIOs of D.C. (NE) office vide letter dated 15.09.2010 by SDM (HQ)/PIO.

SDM (HQ)/PIO sent the reply of the said RTI application to the appellant vide letter dated 29.10.2010. SDM, Seelampur/PIO also sent the reply of said application vide letter dated 18.10.2010. The photocopies of reply sent has been provided to this office.

Therefore the appellant has been sent the reply by PIOs and therefore the said appeal is disposed

of accordingly.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY/ ADM (NE)

Applicant may refer section 19(3) of RTI Act-2005 – A Second Appeal against the decision lies within 90 days from the date on which the decision has been made. Second Appeal can be made with Central Information Commission, Block-4 old JNU Campus, New Delhi.

F.NO. PA/ADM/NE/RTI/APPEAL/75/2010/ 1790

Dated:

13/12/2010

Copy to: -

1. Appellant.

2. SPIO/SDM (Seemapuri), Distt. North-East, Delhi.

3. DIO, NIC, Distt. North-East with advice for uploading on website.

(RAJESH GOYAL) FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

ADM (NE)