

**OFFICE OF THE ADDL. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE/
FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY (DISTT. NORTH-EAST)
DC OFFICE COMPLEX, DISTT. NORTH-EAST
NAND NAGRI, DELHI**

F.NO.PA/ADM/NE/RTI/Appeal/44/2010/ 1036

Dated:-13.08.2010

Rajinder Singh Raja

Vs.

PIO/SDM (Shahdara)

13.08.2010

ORDER

Present Sh. Rajinder Singh Raja appellant and Sh. Amerender Patel, Steno on the behalf of PIO/SDM (Shahdara).

Heard.

The appellant is aggrieved by the order dated 12.07.2010 of PIO/SDM (Shahdara) on application dated 29.06.2010, ID No.10070.

In his application dated 17.06.2010 the appellant had sought information on following points given below in column-A to which PIO reply as per column-B:-

S.No.	Question	Reply of PIO
1.	The appellant had sent a letter dated 22.03.2010 to SDM (Shahdara) regarding illegal running of factories in non conforming areas. The appellant want to know the action taken by the SDM.	The action to be taken in SDM office is under consideration and a copy has been sent to SHO (Shahdara) for necessary action. Order:- The reply given by the PIO is correct and the appellant can not have any grievance to the reply. If he wants PIO to take any further action we may approach him or his senior officer.
2.	What action has been taken by SDM (Shahdara) on the letter dated 12.04.2010 sent by PIO/Addl. DCP (North-East) to him and why the requisite information has not been supply to him being 30 days.	Does not pertain to this office. Order:- From the perusal of the record it reveals that the RTI application of the appellant was not enclosed with the letter of PIO/Addl. DCP (North-East). However, subsequently the appellant vide this letter dated 12.04.2010 supplied the PIO the

		application in question. However, reply given by the PIO is not correct. Instead of writing to Addl. DCP for providing him the copy of the application, a very vague reply has been given. Even after receipt of the requisite application, correct reply has not been given. PIO is directed to give the correct reply by giving details of action taken by him on the aforesaid letter of Addl. DCP. Further he is directed to explain to the appellant the reasons for not supplying to the appellant the requisite information within the time prescribed in the RTI Act, 2005. The above reply be sent to appellant within a period of 2 weeks from today.
3.	What action has been taken by the PIO on his letter date 07.05.2010.	Does not pertain to this office. Order:- The reply is given by the PIO is not correct. PIO is directed to explain to the appellant the reasons for not supplying to the appellant the requisite information within the time prescribed in the RTI Act, 2005. The above reply be sent to appellant within a period of 2 weeks from today.
4.	What action the SDM can take against person to run factories in an illegal manner.	Action is taken as per law. Order:- Law also may be stated to the appellant. The above reply be sent to appellant within a period of 2 weeks from today.

Appeal is allowed to the extent discussed above and disposed of accordingly.


(PRAKASH CHANDRA)
FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

Applicant may refer section 19(3) of RTI Act-2005 – A Second Appeal against the decision lies within 90 days from the date on which the decision has been made. Second Appeal can be made with Central Information Commission, 2nd Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Kama Place, New Delhi.

Copy to:

1. Sh. Rajinder Singh Raja R/o 1/514, Nand Nagri, Delhi-110093.
2. SPIO/SDM (Shahdara), Distt. Nand Nagri.
3. DIO, NIC, Distt. North-East for uploading on web site.
4. Guard file.