

GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF THE CHAIRPERSON REVIEW COMMITTEE OF
DSEAR'73,
ROOM NO. 10, SCERT, VARUN MARG, DEFENCE COLONY
NEW DELHI-110024

F.No.1/CH/RC/2011/05

Dated : 03.06.11

Minutes

In the meeting held on 03.06.11 the following attended:

Committee Members.

1. Smt. Shailaja Chandra, IAS (Retd.), Chairperson, Review Committee
2. Smt. Abha Joshi, Member Secretary
3. Sh. Marcel Ekka, ADE (Act)
4. Dr. Afshan Yasmin, ADE(Act)

Officers of Department of Education:

1. Smt. Anita Setia, DDE (S)
2. Smt. Usha Kumari, DDE(E)
3. Sh. R.N. Sharma, DDE(NE)
4. Sh. K.S.Yadav, DDE(WA)
5. Sh. Kham Chand, DDE(CND)
6. Sh. Rathi, DDE(WB)
7. Mr. R. P. Yadav, DDE(N)
8. Mrs. Sushila Ahlawat, E.O.
9. Mr. L. M. Pandey, E.O.
10. Mr. Ghosh, E.O., Zone-22

At the outset the Chairperson welcomed the members of the Committee and the officers of the Department of Education and informed them of the aims and objectives of the meeting which was to understand the issues and challenges faced by the Department in implementing the Act and the Rules and to hear their suggestions on what needed to be done. She clarified that later it was proposed to hear representative groups from the private and aided schools and other stakeholders depending on written responses received to the public notice and the relevance of the applications sent to the Committee .

1) Assistant teacher qualification

- A) Decision taken: Smt. Setia, Deputy Director of Education will give a base paper indicating critical areas and will suggest solutions.
- B) Mr. Marcel Ekka, ADE (Act), Dte. of Education will locate the departmental file in which this issue was taken up.
- C) After this is done a request will be made to DIET to workout a phased programme for orientation of teachers as determined.

2) Resignation of teachers of private school

A) It was pointed out that the time taken for approval of Director under Rule 114 is too short. This leads to a number of complaints and cases. It was suggested that provisions for taking approval of Director is unnecessary and cannot be adhered to. After discussion it was found that the provision itself is not unworkable, rather the implementation has been difficult due to administrative problems. It was decided to prepare a suggested process plan for administering this provision.

3) Employing under qualified teachers by private schools

A) It was suggested that a website be created and every school should be expected to fill up the details regarding qualifications of every teacher. Any problem /case/complaints regarding under qualification would need to be referred to a Tribunal or Ombudsman after following due process which would be suggested.

4) Inspections of schools

It was found that inspection of every school in every district every year is a requirement of the existing Act. However basis of selection of schools to be inspected and proforma for inspection needs to be revisited, Mrs. Neelam Verma agreed to prepare a paper on this.

5) Punitive measures:

It was pointed that there is absence of internal provisions for taking action against school not following Govt. rules/orders. There were no provisions for acting against the management except cases of extreme violation. It was decided that 1) Mrs. Setia would prepare a paper for occasional /frequent violation listing the situations encountered in order of priority. 2) Mr. R.N. Sharma will examine how punitive provisions have been enforced in area like Child Rights Commission/MCD civic provisions etc. and he would give suggestions which provisions are more suitable for the education sector.

After these papers are received and the views of all stakeholders taken into account, a legal formulation would be prepared through Assistant Legal Advisor for being incorporated in due course in the Report.



(ABHA JOSHI)
MEMBER SECRETARY